



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

IN THIS ISSUE

| | |
|---|---|
| Is it Jobs, Full Employment – or is it Sufficiency? by Wallace Klinck | 1 |
| Someone Who Spoke For Them and Their Country by Betty Luks | 2 |
| Another View on the Immigration Revolution | 2 |
| At Last! Someone Who Can See the Wood and the Trees by Betty Luks | 4 |
| The China Cult by Tom North | 6 |
| Gut-Wrenchingly Disappointing from David Pascoe's Facebook | 6 |
| The Incredible Disappearing Brilliant Career by Richard Miller | 6 |

To Commemorate the Time When The Queen Will Become The Longest Serving Monarch, A Service of Prayer & Thanksgiving Will be held at St Andrew’s Cathedral, Sydney On Thursday the 17th September, 2015 Commencing at 5:30 pm

On September the 10th (some say the 9th) Queen Elizabeth will become the longest reigning monarch in British - and Australian – history. (The reason for the differences in dates is because the Queen’s reign covers one more leap year than Queen Victoria.)

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: ...that the main cause of the world's economic difficulties at the present time is the same in every country, and may be found in the annexation and unjustifiable claim to the monopoly of public credit by financial institutions. And fundamentally there can be only one cure for this situation - to place that credit at the disposal of those from whom it arises - that collection of individuals which we agree to call 'the public'. *CH Douglas - Reconstruction*

IS IT JOBS, FULL EMPLOYMENT - OR IS IT ‘SUFFICIENCY’? by Wallace Klinck, Canada

I am not interested in becoming enmeshed in the Marxist dialectical conflict and false duality of “Left-Right” political party politics. From this snare surely we need escape rather than entanglement. We require a realistic integration of means and ends in the interest of social stability and genuine material progress. That virtually all political parties make full or near-full “employment” the central plank in their policy is a clear indication of motivation derived from a poisoned philosophical source. Full-employment was the paramount policy of both fascism and communism, as it must be of all totalitarian states which need the threat of economic insecurity as the basis of compliance, compulsion and enforced servitude.

Contemporary universal Keynesian Fabian Socialist financial policy is but a gradualist measure employed to establish the centralized managerial State. I would hope that at least some members of Alberta Wildrose might summon the intellectual and moral resources to rise above the crudities of political party stratagems exercised in the pursuit of power.

The question to ask is not whether jobs are being eliminated but whether this is resulting in an actual scarcity of desired goods, services and amenities. The appropriate purpose of an economy is not to create work (i.e., “jobs”) but to produce goods and services as, when and where required or desired with an absolute minimum of inconvenience to everyone, i.e., with absolute maximum efficiency. Efficiency requires the replacement of human effort as a factor of production with technology - and the less human effort required to obtain results the better. The business of engineers is to generate efficiency and any engineer worthy of the name should understand

that his responsibility is not to create jobs but to eliminate them.

Economics is simply a functional activity of men and women in the world and the sooner it can be dispensed with so people can move on to more cultural and spiritual activities the better will conditions be for everyone. Predictions now are that within some twenty years about fifty per cent of American jobs, across the economy, will be eliminated by automation, robots and artificial intelligence.

This is a magnificent prospect and should be celebrated by everyone with joy and gratitude to God and nature that we should be so liberated from the “Curse of Adam.”

Unfortunately, non-spiritual man, enslaved to the doctrine of “Salvation through Works” rather than liberated by the doctrine of “Salvation through Grace”, regards the elimination of work (increasingly diverted to waste, inefficiency and outright destruction, e.g., war), as some sort of calamity. What a blind and perverse civilization!

When the producers create a mountain of unsold goods which are unsalable because so few people have incomes because of a lack of “jobs”, what then are we going to do? Erect a gigantic electrified fence around it and tell unemployed consumers peering through the barrier to leave the premises - and tell producers that if they cannot recover their costs through sales then that is just their misfortune?

Taxing a shrinking base of already increasingly inadequate incomes derived from employment to support a growing body of unemployed is obviously no viable solution to the problem and can only lead to economic breakdown and social chaos.

(continued from previous page)

The solution to this seemingly insolvable conundrum of how to reconcile the disparity between ability to produce and to consume in the modern economy lies not in production, per se, but primarily in distribution to enable consumption. These issues were all observed and predicted nearly a century ago by the prescient and analytical intellect of the British engineer Clifford Hugh Douglas, whose brilliant mind has been described as the apex of British intellectual development in the twentieth century.

Douglas not only observed the inevitable march of problematic events but formulated the appropriate policy and practical measures necessary to remediate the situation.

SOMEONE WHO SPOKE FOR THEM AND THEIR COUNTRY by Betty Luks

I was reminded of a 2013 *Telegraph* article by Ed West, “Conservatives – depressing everyone since 500BC”, whilst reading the news of Europe being flooded with illegal immigrants and authorities unable to stem the gushing flow. Whilst making the point that conservatives seek to be depressing realists (not sure this is so at times....ed) he wrote:

“Of course we’re pessimists, we’re conservatives – that’s the whole point. Some see a glass half-full, some see a glass half-empty, we see the downfall of Western civilisation and the country going to the dogs. If you want cheery, happy people who think everything’s going to turn out like one of those Jehovah’s Witnesses drawings of heaven where the kids are lying in a field next to a moose, join the Liberal Democrats.

He continues: “It’s my job as a conservative to depress you, so I’m sad to say that, as this will be my last blogpost here, you’ll have to find some other way to get yourself down from now on; maybe stick yourself in a room with some Radiohead CDs and a bottle of gin and put Requiem for a Dream on a loop.

And in this case we’re probably right... it’s a fundamental conservative principle that if something

repeatedly goes wrong over and over and over again, it’s probably not going to work the next time, or ever.

Conservatism is depressive realism. That’s not to say that things are always bad, or necessarily getting worse, but that there is a natural tendency among humans to ignore problems, and it’s our job to point this out...”

Or one may hearken back to the late 1960s and recall the British MP Enoch Powell of the ‘rivers of blood on the streets’ fame. *The Social Crediter* (15 June 1968) records his popularity was not specifically the immigration issue because at the time immigration was only a problem in some areas of the UK. No, it seems the British recognised someone who spoke for them and their own country; for the British for centuries had been nurtured in the idea that the Englishman’s home is his castle.

The Social Crediter had no doubt that: the British people with a Cabinet of Enoch Powells, would respond as they did at the crisis of Dunkirk, but there was the mass media to contend with. It was doing its worse to make sure the opportunity was not presented to them.

ANOTHER VIEW ON THE IMMIGRATION 'REVOLUTION '

Ed West wrote on immigration a number of times, “The immigration revolution: can Europe be the same with different people in it?” was a warning in a July 8th, 2009 article:

“Something explosive is about to hit the British political arena, a book with ideas so shocking it will change the debate on the most important issue in European politics. For years, the European political class have told their peoples that mass immigration was beneficial, inevitable and historically precedented. It enriched our lives, it boosted our economy, it made us better people morally and it was a continuation of our countries’ histories of immigration, and anyone who disagrees is a racist bigot. The ruling class kitted the emperor out in his fancy new clothes and if people

could not see them then there was something wrong with their eyes.

Well, the emperor is butt-naked and *Financial Times* journalist Christopher Caldwell is the little boy. The publication of his book “*Reflections on the Revolution in Europe*” is a pivotal moment in the debate on immigration, and it heralds the start of a new era - an acceptance by the political mainstream that our system isn’t working, and that while a multi-racial society can work, the more multi-racial it is the less well it functions.

Caldwell is a reasonable, moderate man who has studied Europe and Islam for a decade, which makes his conclusions all the more astonishing.

(continued on next page)

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queens Street, Melbourne, 3000

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9600 0677

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

(continued from previous page)

He argues that mass immigration and the formation of large ethnic bodies makes us unhappier as people, it damages rather than helps the economy, and threatens the basic principles of freedom. Most alarming of all, he shows just how deluded are those liberal thinkers who believe large Muslim immigrant communities can be assimilated into Europe, especially a hedonistic atheist Europe which has recently adopted values at odds with the rest of humanity.

Immigration of the sort we've had since the war is simply unprecedented. Part of the propaganda pumped out by the establishment is that Britain is a nation of immigrants. But, as Calwell writes:

“Aside from the invasions of Angles, Saxons, and Jutes that started in the fourth century AD - and which brought, at the very most, 250,000 new settlers over a period of several centuries - British 'stock' has changed little. Only about 10,000 people arrived with the Norman Conquest. Tens of thousands more Huguenots came after the revocation of the Edict of Nantes in 1685. But, all told, three-quarters of the ancestors of contemporary Britons and Irish were already present in the British Isles 7,500 years ago. DNA from people who arrived after that makes up only 12 per cent of the Irish gene pool.”

In fact before 1945 there had only been one example of such immigration in Europe's history and that was, ironically, produced by Nazi Germany.

“Europe's path to mass immigration owes something to the intellectual habits of the statesmen and magnates who ran Europe's economy in World War II - on both the Allied and Axis sides. In scale, today's massive immigration of 'temporary' labour has only one precedent, and it is a recent one.”

Nazi Germany is the key to all of this, the reason why we are unable to balance our Christian disdain for racism and our willingness to allow minority communities to live here with common sense. No society in history would ever have dreamed of doing what Europe did after 1945, inviting vastly different people over in such numbers, for the simple reason that no other society was so wracked with guilt and self-loathing for its “two historic misdeeds, colonialism and Nazism”.

This, combined with pressure from big business, which wanted cheap labour to run heavy industry (most of which was on its last legs anyway), and a welfare state that discouraged natives from taking menial jobs, caused Europe's leaders to invite people in such large numbers as to make future ethnic conflict inevitable.

Caldwell continues: “If one abandons the idea that Western Europeans are rapacious and exploitative by nature, and that Africans, Asians, and other would-be immigrants are inevitably their victims, then the fundamental differences between colonisation and labour migration cease to be obvious.”

And what we have in the suburbs of France and the inner cities of Holland and England is, whatever that word's pejorative meaning, colonisation.

Enoch Powell and 'rivers of blood':

The reason Enoch Powell was wrong in predicting rivers of blood was that Powell, who loved the British Empire, did not understand the widespread feelings of liberal guilt among the middle class, nor that this would be transmitted to the nation as a whole (even though the poor had no reason to feel guilty about anything, and indeed would feel the worst effects of immigration).

And yet Powell's population forecasts were spot on. He shocked his Rotary Club audience in 1968 by suggesting Britain's non-white population would be 4.5 million in 2002 (in 2001 it was 4,635,296). Then in 1970 he told voters in Wolverhampton that between a fifth and a quarter of their city, as well as that of Birmingham and Inner London, would be non-white one day. According to the 2001 census the figures were 22.2 per cent, 29.6 per cent and 34.4 per cent respectively, and rising.

The subtitle asks: “Can Europe be the same with different people in it?” The answer, quite clearly, is no.”

One wonders what Enoch Powell – or even Ed West - would have to say about today's invasion of Europe.

PATRIOTISM IS...

A People's Freedom and/or Rights Writ Large (embodied with greater magnitude)

As you read the following news about the present Liberal Minister for Trade Andrew Robb, think on what 'patriotism' once meant and compare it with the venal view of the present political class in Canberra. On David Pascoe's Facebook, Alex Douglas wrote: “Andrew Robb continues to state that to oppose the Liberal FTA (Free Trade Agreement) with China is anti-business. However, he fails to state that this FTA is against our own sovereign interest. His FTA is all about Chinese being allowed to land-bank freehold Australian property without scrutiny and massively relaxing 457 visa entries for foreign doubtfully trained workers. A real FTA would contain none of these things. If nothing else he must not be re-elected in Goldstein.”

Well, whether the electors in the seat of Goldstein think Andrew Robb should be re-elected or not, before making up their minds, they should ponder the following....

Patriotism was once defined as defence of or being zealous for one's country's freedom or rights; but its full meaning has its roots in human – even animal - nature. It is instinctive as, for example, sexual impulse is instinctive. Closely related is the concept of patrimony - property inherited from one's ancestors.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

Patriotism is family *writ large*; patrimony is an external inheritance as real as the individual's internal genetic inheritance.

But patrimony includes more than physical property: it includes cultural differentiations – language and even dialect differences, social customs and usages; religions, arts, skills, monuments and specific histories. In this field like attracts like, so that immigrants from one country to another tend to settle in communities, reproducing, so far as possible, the cultural differentiations of the original homeland.

Internationalists such as Andrew Robb endeavour to abolish patriotism and internationalise the native patrimony. If we are right, it is equivalent to trying to repress the sexual impulse: in both cases the instinctive drive breaks forth in perverted activities such as violent protesters on the streets armed with Molotov cocktails.

AT LAST! SOMEONE WHO CAN SEE THE WOOD AND THE TREES by Betty Luks

Many years ago the late Tom Fielder, a former dairy farmer, drove me around some scenic spots in his beloved Victoria, pointing out that when Australia exports its food stuffs it is exporting its water, its nutrients, etc. At last I read another countryman making the same point.

Source: Pete Mailler – *The Land*, “**FTA Campaign Misguided**” 29/08/2015 writes:

This week, I was shocked by the way in which the National Farmers’ Federation (NFF) and some of its members have engaged in the debate around the China-Australia Free Trade Agreement (ChAFTA).

My reaction was on several levels and I will step through them because they are demonstrating yet again why increasing numbers of people in regional Australia refer to them as No Family Farms. The NFF launched a negative campaign attacking the credibility of the unions. The campaign accused the unions of lying about the threat to Australian jobs and trade qualifications and suggested they were xenophobic. Independent analysis suggests the union claims actually have merit.

I have little time for the modern union movement because it has acted with great prejudice against small business in my view. However, launching a negative campaign against an already hostile and far better resourced and generally more organised and political powerful lobby is plainly stupid.

Small business, agriculture and manufacturing in this country have been severely damaged by the unending demands of the union movement in their pursuit of a better deal for workers from big business. Common sense left the building a long time ago and organisations like NFF should, in theory, be working hard to foster better understanding within the union movement of what our sectors need.

The real problem I have with the NFF is that they demonstrated their partisan alignment. They engaged in a scare campaign that for all intents and purposes could have been scripted by the Liberal Party. It demonstrates that they are too close to the Coalition. It demonstrates that they are not able to be objective in regards to assessing the merits or risks of the ChAFTA for its constituents and/or primary producers. The NFF has become a pathway for aspiring politicians. Indeed our current Trade Minister is a former staffer of the

NFF. Now we have a CEO who has engaged in a negative action that does nothing more than endear himself to the Coalition and hurt the political credibility of our highest lobby.

The simple truth is that we have been trading with China for many, many years and our opportunities for trade have grown without a “free” trade agreement. China needs our agricultural product and will need increasingly more of it irrespective of the existence of an FTA. While the ChAFTA is spruiked as a great accomplishment by the Trade Minister, it is really an indication of Chinese awareness of their own food insecurity.

At face value there are a great number of indicative wins for agriculture in tariff reductions. These are of course very welcome, but tariffs are only part of the in-built Chinese protectionism. Indeed, the ChAFTA specifically mentions quotas and discretionary safeguards for China to still control the growth of Australian trade. The underlying enabler of our trade opportunity is still dependent on Chinese controls. However, there are elements of the FTA that pose a significant threat to future generations of Australian farmers as the easy flow of Chinese capital into agricultural assets puts farm ownership out of reach for many aspiring entrants. My own family has now been forced to sell their enterprise to foreign investors simply because there were no Australian buyers who could compete. In the short-term it was the best option for my family, but at an industry level it is disturbing.

My comments on foreign investment/ownership are not xenophobic. The problem is that we have a Trade Minister who fundamentally doesn’t understand the value of agricultural land to the country or the impact its aggregation in foreign ownership will have on regional communities. Mr Robb declares that in all his years he has never seen a foreigner take an Australian farm away. The irony is that is exactly what is happening every time we export something. **All the nutrients and water and resources invested in the export product is taken away a little bit at a time.**

While my literal interpretation is perhaps a reach for some, the real thing we sell when we sell our land, are the future profits from it.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

Agricultural land is a perpetual asset and selling it to foreign investors means we deprive future Australian agriculturists and the country generally of the opportunity for prosperity that comes from the ongoing agricultural enterprise of the land. In short, foreign investors don't take the farm offshore, they take its wealth offshore.

Aside from the foreign ownership issue, the majority of the benefits of the ChAFTA will be captured further up the supply chain and relatively small proportion of the benefits are likely to flow through to the majority of farmers. Some will benefit in the short-term, but the longer term ramifications have not been discussed by both government and our farm lobby who seem devoid of real vision for the sector.

The NFF has long lost its perspective and understanding that agriculture is dominated by small business units and the future prosperity of this nation depend on their viability today. Personally I am nonplussed about the ChAFTA, but I am incensed that the NFF has sunk to poorly informed politics. This demeans its reputation and further harms its prospects as a viable lobby.

The current devaluation of the Australian dollar is a far more valuable and meaningful boost to agriculture. The NFF should be striving to enshrine fiscal policy objectives in both sides of government that keep downward pressure on our currency. As great as the perceived benefits of the ChAFTA, further reductions in our exchange rate will be far more meaningful at the farm gate, particularly as China devalues its currency. Incidentally, the lower currency revitalises the competitiveness of other key sectors in the economy including the manufacturing sector. Ultimately, the NFF would deliver far more benefit to farmers, working with the unions to push for tighter fiscal policy to bring down the dollar and save and/or create manufacturing jobs particularly in the food processing sector.

The NFF is struggling for relevance trying to reinvent itself, but in that process it must distance itself from the Coalition and big business. Otherwise they will continue to earn their No Family Farm tag indefinitely.

Continue to read on and learn what the ALoR had to say about the National Farmers Federation in 1986!

AS IN SO MANY OTHER BASIC ISSUES... THE LEAGUE WAS RIGHT!

Selling Off the Farm: 17 October 1986

<http://www.alor.org/Volume22/Vol22No40.htm>

As on so many other basic issues, The League of Rights was the first to issue cautionary comments concerning the question of whether the National Farmers' Federation, currently headed by Mr. Ian McLachlan, was really going to come to grips with the

basic problems confronting Australia, to use its massive fighting fund to promote constructive political action, or whether it was going to preside over the "restructuring" of Australia's rural industries in accordance with New International Economic Order strategy. We can report that a group of backbench Labor politicians are starting to become concerned about Treasurer Keating's policies, as are members of the National Party.

League speakers have been expressing their concern at well attended rural meetings, and this concern is starting to be reflected in some editorial comment, as witnessed by the following editorial which appeared in the widely read provincial daily, *Border Morning Mail*, (Albury, N.S.W.) of October 6th:

"Young Australians may have their work cut out buying their own farms now that foreign ownership rules have been eased. "Rural properties worth less than \$3 million may now be bought by foreign investors so long as they spend one third of the price of the land developing the property. "But according to some farm leaders, this new Government policy will jeopardise the family farm because it will keep the prices out of the reach of Australian farmers' sons. "The likelihood of foreign buyers entering the market has increased because of the low Australian dollar and the depressed price, by international standards, of rural land. "But farmers wanting to get off the land because they get so little in return for their capital and work, are not too worried who the buyers are. "With an average farm debt of \$60,000 on the nation's 170,000 farms, and an average weekly interest bill of \$200, farmers cannot afford to be too fussy about who buys them out.

"In 1982, Labor told farmers it would use its power over foreign investment and taxation to combat speculative dealing in land and property to prevent foreign purchases of large tracts of rural land. "Farmers know where the National Farmers' Federation stands on the influx of foreign capital into rural land. "A considerable part of its fighting fund has been provided by banks and foreign companies whose interests do not appear to coincide with those of Australian farmers. "An uncomplaining acquiescence to the unrestricted takeover of Australia's family farm lands by foreign interests would be a surrender to a catastrophic development with much wider consequences than many imagine.

"The only alternative if Australia's farms are to be saved is to tackle Australia's domestic money policy. "The release of sufficient national credit to reconstruct debt to a manageable basis and to reduce internal costs and taxation should and must be done. "The courage to do this would earn the NFF the undying gratitude not only of farmers but all Australians, including the unions. "A meek surrender would make the NFF one of the most expensive calamities in the nation's history.'

THE CHINA CULT by Tom North

The political/capitalist class are clearly worried about possible threats to the give-Australia-what's-left-to-China-deal. Abbott has been hopping up and down accusing the Labor opposition of telling "racist lies" and dragging us back to the evil days of the White Australia Policy - never minding that contemporary China has a "yellow China policy" more rigid than anything Australia ever had. **The Australian** featured full page ads with farmers telling us that delaying, yes even delaying, the China trade deal will mean a loss of jobs. Journalists screamed that Shorten was not fit to be Prime Minister for even questioning aspects of the deal. And our trade Minister cried that Labor could lead to China walking away from the deal. (*The Australian*, August 31, 2015, p.1) Lets hope that they do!

We already have "free trade", in fact, far too much of it. We are at the colonisation and imperialism level with our elected leaders essentially being little more than puppets for Chinese interests. It is a national disgrace that this free trade deal has not been subject to more intense public scrutiny, since it, as I see it, gives away Australian's future. The China cult, embraced by our ruling elites is a new mania. Can a vaccine, preferably a genetically modified one, made in China, be given to our chattering class to contain this disease of globalitis?

GUT-WRENCHINGLY DISAPPOINTING...

Palaszczuk Government thumbs It's Nose at Integrity from David Pascoes FaceBook

The Environmental Authority for the Stage Three Expansion of Acland Mine has now been rushed through before the full investigation into massive political donations have been fully investigated by the Crime and Corruption Committee. We stand alongside Drew Hutton and agree this is appalling move by a Premier that we all believed was a leader of genuine decency and ethics.

It seems that the Palaszczuk Cabinet is now set to vote; not on conscience or decency or what is best for the people of Queensland; but rather, along factional lines. So we must ask - what effect have any massive secret donations by New Hope Coal to either the CFMEU and the AWEU had on these 'factional' decisions? It seems that the greed of the Spivs at New Hope Coal will stop at nothing in their attempt to take all the riches from the people of Queensland - and run. We will never surrender from the fight to save our food bowls on both the Darling Downs and the Liverpool Plains - and we ask you all to stand beside us. This Facebook page has achieved a reach of over 4 million people - as we saw with the Charlie Phillott story - and we believe the power of the people can never be ignored. We believe that the future of our food bowls and the future of our scant water resources is also about the very future of the people of Queensland. Please share this post if you agree.

Ref: <https://www.facebook.com/OVHRepro?fref=nf>

<http://www.thechronicle.com.au/news/group-slams-govts-broken-promises/2758309/>



In this book, Professor Walker recommends extending the procedure of referendum in two main ways; first by making it possible for binding referendums to be held on ordinary legislation as well as on constitutional changes, and second, by introducing the 'initiative', which would give ordinary citizens the power to submit proposals to referendum.

Ref: <http://alor.org/Library/Walker%20G%20de%20Q%20-%20The%20Peoples%20Law.pdf>

THE INCREDIBLE, DISAPPEARING "BRILLIANT CAREER" by Richard Miller

Research commissioned by the Foundation for Young Australians has shown that 58% of students and 71% of vocational education students are studying for careers that won't exist in the future. (*The Australian*, August 24, 2015, p.3) They will of course collect the student debt, but won't have a job to pay it off, and they have not been told this, something the foundation chief executive described as "diabolical".

For example, over in South Australia there are over 2,000 law graduates "who have no immediate employment prospects". A law firm is set to offer newly admitted lawyers a placement for \$22,000. That is an "up front fee" to cover the cost of supervision, mentoring and education programs. I guess it gives these young folk a chance.

FASCIST EUROPE RISING (R. Atkinson)

As the constitution of the Danish people rightly asserts "It is by law you build the land" and no regime in world history has achieved so much imperial conquest by merely generating the law to build their land as has the so-called "European Union".

**Anywhere in Australia - \$20 posted: contact
Heritage Book Mailing Service,
P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159 SA
Phone: (08) 7123 7131 M0415 527 121**



ROBOT INVASION: AUTOMATED LABOUR FOILS INDIA'S PLANS TO CUT UNEMPLOYMENT

Factories in India are seeing their own "rise of the machines" as robots increasingly substitute for the low-cost human labor force. Robots, capable of working twice as fast and without the mistakes inherent in human labour, are doing a number on Prime Minister Narendra Modi's plans to put impoverished Indians to work.

While new automated technologies and improved workforce productivity certainly promises to lead to economic growth, it's a double-edged sword for Indian Prime Minister Narendra Modi. Here is the thing: Modi launched his 'Make in India' campaign aimed at creating jobs for poor workers, but it's precisely the unskilled jobs they would fill that are being completed by these hyper-efficient machines.

India is following the example of China, the world's fastest growing economy, in employing machines that blow away the capabilities of an average uneducated, low-skill worker.

"The need for unskilled labour is beginning to diminish," Akhilesh Tilotia, head of a thematic research group at Kotak Institutional Equities in Mumbai and a demographic impact author. "Whatever education we're putting in and whatever skill development we're potentially trying to put out - does it match where the industry will potentially be five to 10 years hence? That linkage is reasonably broken in India."

Read more: <http://sputniknews.com/asia/20150811/1025604075.html>

<http://sputniknews.com/science/20150801/1025284489.html>

WHY THE COMING COLLAPSE WILL NOT BE ALL THAT BAD by Len the Post Apocalyptic

Miracle of miracles! Uncle Len has learnt to operate the community centre computers after being in fear of them for a decade. Now I have a new friend by the name of "Google". Why, one day I may even have an email account and then a website: www.thehappyhobo.com! I like the "com" bit, sounds oh so commercial and business like.

Anyway, what was I talking about? Yes, collapse. I was inspired by Natural News.com, August 28, 2015 article which says that in the wake of catastrophic events people are more likely to die in cities than rural areas and the cities are predominantly liberal-left. This will change overnight the dynamics of voting, politics and all that jazz.

Now Uncle Len has never wished anyone ill, but Mike Adams' point is well taken. Cities are highly vulnerable to any number of catastrophes which could turn the clock back, leaving to survivors having much like Uncle Len now does. Sometimes, in a deep dark depressive mood I think that giving up civilisation is a small price to pay for the PC BS that we swim in everyday. I would rather be a free man living in a cave.

In some respects, here in my shed with the cockroaches for company, I already am.

WATCH OUT LIFESTYLE! THE ECONOMIC/FINANCIAL ELITES ARE ON THE MOVE! By Peter Ewer

Beware! The elites are on the march! We have had Joe Hockey get more vocal on the Republic issue, as well as proclaiming that the rich pay too much tax, so those in the top marginal tax rate are likely to get from Joe personal income taxes cuts at the next election. The Liberals are so disgusting, in my opinion, that I can understand why people will vote Labor to punish them for what they have done. Has Abbott done anything in his term of office to improve the well-being of ordinary Australians?

The National Reform Summit seems, if I read the reports correctly, to be just another talk fest celebrating "growth" and free trade. But who says that the world that these elites are forcing upon ordinary Australians will improve our lives? No doubt the wealthy will benefit, but since the 1970s quality of life has been falling. The overpopulated mass society that they have made Australia into, is infinitely inferior to pre-World War II Anglo Saxon Australia. Arguably, we live in a Matrix-like world more like a consumer meat grinder.

CHARLIE'S VICTORY

It's been a long, hard fight. Charlie Phillott is the 81-year-old farmer from outback Queensland who took on the might of the ANZ Bank... and won. The bank forced his family off Carisbrooke Station, their property of 50 years. But the ANZ didn't bargain on Charlie's dignified determination. He certainly won't be bullied. And, on *60 Minutes*, the ANZ made the most extraordinary back-down. ANZ Chief Executive Mike Smith flew 2,000 kilometres from the bank's Melbourne headquarters to personally apologise to Charlie.

And that's not all that happened during this extraordinary encounter. It's the most dramatic development yet in the story that *60 Minutes* has been following for much of 2015: the desperate plight of farmers across Australia forced off their farms by the ANZ. Charlie's win is a breakthrough. But there are lots of questions for the ANZ boss about how this all happened, and what now for the many other distressed farmers just like Charlie.

Ref: <http://www.9jumpin.com.au/show/60minutes/stories/2015/august/charlie-victory/>

FARMER FRED MEETS ALLAN SAVORY

People send me things; lots of things - compliments, abuse, information and advice. One correspondent is "Coochie" a wannabee grass-farmer who lives in town but reads all the latest stuff on managing grazing animals. He reads things like "Mother Earth" and "Stockman Grass Farmer".

Coochie recently rebuked me.

"Please tell Farmer Fred that grazing animals are far better than 'carbon neutral'. In fact they are the only hope for reversing desertification of the world's grasslands and open forests. If managed properly, grazing herds will remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, reduce soil erosion, improve soil fertility and increase vegetative cover. They should earn 'carbon credits'."

I was all ears. "You and Fred should study the work of Allan Savory. Allan is an observant honest ecologist who has spent his life worrying about desertification, which can be both a cause and a result of climate change. Initially, he hated grazing animals – he thought they were causing desertification and destroying his beloved wildlife.

"But a life-time of study of the whole system showed him it was neither the cloven hooves nor the animal numbers that caused desertification. The problem was how they grazed – how long, how intense. When hard-hoof animals are concentrated on small areas of land for short periods of time, they break up the hard crust and cover it with litter, dung and seeds. Then, when the herd moves on to seek new clean pastures, the abandoned areas recover quickly with improved soil and replanted pasture. This process restores the health of grasses and soil, returning much life-supporting carbon to the soil in the process.

"What turns grasslands into deserts is constant grazing by a few animals. Herds must be concentrated and moving." I insisted that Fred come over and listen to Allan Savory:

After he listened to it, Fred was stunned. He was always sceptical of our "funny ideas" on rotational grazing but suddenly he understood.

"Well, my boy" he said. "So much for all that rot from your Professor mate attacking us graziers and lauding soft-footed animals. It makes sense – soft-footed rabbits spread everywhere and destroyed everything with their constant nibbling; but one or two massive moving herds of bison, bunched and harassed by wolves and Indians and assisted by occasional fires, created the marvellous grasslands of the Prairies.

"Our cattle and sheep can be much more than grass harvesters and providers of periodic protein for people and predators. They can cultivate soil, prepare seed beds, spread seeds and mulch, and fertilise our grasslands and pastures in just one pass; but only if we concentrate them properly, and then give the pasture a decent rest-and-recovery period."

"This Un-Savory chap will probably be expelled from the Deep Green Brotherhood for such blasphemy." Coochie was ecstatic: "With plenty of plant-sustaining emissions from coal in the skies, and soil-sustaining emissions from cattle in the soils, then coal and cattle can paint the grasslands green again."

Viv Forbes, Rosewood, Qld

ALLAN SAVORY YOUTUBE:

<https://youtu.be/vpTHi7O66pI>

**AUSTRALIAN LEAGUE OF RIGHTS
NATIONAL WEEKEND
30TH OCT – 1ST NOVEMBER 2015
IN ADELAIDE
Public Schools Club
207 East Terrace,
Adelaide, SA 5000
Phone: 08 8223 3213**

ACCOMMODATION : The following accommodation addresses are within reasonable motoring distance.

Chifley, 226 South Tce. Ph. 8223 4355

Country Comfort, 215 South Tce. Ph. 8223 2800

Rydges, 1, South Tce. Ph. 8212 1277

Programme

800 years of Magna Carta and the four sovereignties

- 1. Territorial (national borders),**
- 2. Monetary (national sovereignty),**
- 3. Legislative (national legislation)**
- 4. Economic (national preference and protection)**

**BOOKINGS FOR THE SEMINAR DIRECT TO
DOUG & JEAN HOLMES**

**Hancock Mews
10/308 Hancock Rd.,
Surrey Downs, SA 5126
Phone 08 8289 0049
M0421 925 557**

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: — <http://alor.org/> -

When ordering journals, 'On Target' and 'New Times Survey'

**– Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to—
'ALOR Journals'**

**For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make
Cheques/Money Orders payable to —
Heritage Bookshop Services'**

**For donations to the League please make payments to
'Australian League of Rights' or 'ALOR'**

**Books are available at meetings, at our Melbourne
bookshop
or by mail order from the following addresses:**

**Victoria, Tasmania:
Heritage Bookshop,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queens Street,
Melbourne, 3000
(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).
Phone: (03) 9600 0677**

**South Australia
Heritage Book Mailing Service,
P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.
Phone: (08) 71237131;**

**All Other States:
To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.**

**VERITASBOOKS ONLINE:
<http://veritasbooks.com.au/>**